

STATE MANDATES ACT QUESTIONNAIRE

Agency: Illinois Emergency Management Agency

Heading of the Part: Registration and Operator Requirements for Radiation Installations (32 Ill. Adm. Code 320)

Administrative Code Citation: Vol. 48, Issue 39, Pg. 14073

1. Does this rulemaking affect any of the following:

Municipality <u> x </u>	Other Unit of Local Govt. <u> x </u>
County <u> x </u>	School District <u> x </u>
Township <u> x </u>	Community College District <u> x </u>

2. Does this rule require any of the above entities to establish, expand or modify its activities in such a way as to necessitate additional expenditures from local revenues?

Yes _____ No x Number of units affected _____

If yes, please estimate the amount of additional expenditures necessitated by this rulemaking per unit of government \$ _____

NOTE: If the dollar amount, or total number of units affected is unknown, please outline and attach to this form an explanation of the steps taken by the agency to determine the approximate expense of the rulemaking, and the number of units affected.

If no, please explain why the rule does not necessitate such additional expenditures

This rule currently provides requirements for registration of radiation producing equipment that may be utilized by the listed entities. Proposed changes reclassify the specific types of equipment to a classification that is more general in nature rather than specific to the type of equipment that may be utilized. Amendments also include language that will result in more efficient processing of annual registration requirements.

3. Were any alternatives that do not necessitate additional expenditures considered?

Yes _____ No x

If yes, please list these alternatives and explain why they were rejected.

4. What are the policy objectives of the rulemaking (Please be specific)

The language is being clarified and updated by changing the registration classifications of radiation producing equipment to more general categories based on historical inspection results, lack of noncompliance items and design of current equipment in use with consideration given to manufactured safety aspects of the equipment itself. The amendments will also provide more efficiency for processing registrations and fees.

5. Please explain why the policy objectives of this rule cannot be achieved in the absence of the rule or through a rule that does not create a State Mandate

The proposed amendment is only clarifying and updating a current regulation to generally classify minimal threat types of radiation producing equipment. No new mandates are being created.